

Physics 330 – Problem Set # 7

(due Wednesday, November 16)

1. Carry out all steps of the Feynman diagram calculation of the Compton scattering cross section, working from the diagrams at the beginning of P & S Section 5.5. In particular, include all steps in the derivation of eq. (5.87). Write the final result as a polarization-averaged cross section $d\sigma/d\cos\theta$ in the CM frame. Take the limit $m/E \rightarrow 0$.
2. Just as for Bhabha scattering, we can build up the cross section for Compton scattering as a sum of squares of helicity amplitudes. To do this calculation in the limit $m_e \rightarrow 0$, we need the polarization spinors

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^- \text{ with } \vec{p} \parallel \hat{3} : & \quad u_R(p) = \sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \quad u_L(p) = \sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 e^- \text{ in } \theta \text{ direction :} & \quad u_R(p) = \sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_2 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} & \quad u_L(p) = \sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} -s_2 \\ c_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the following polarization vectors for initial and final photons:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma \text{ with } \vec{p} \parallel -\hat{3} : & \quad \epsilon_R^\mu(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -1, i, 0)^\mu & \quad \epsilon_L^\mu(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -1, -i, 0)^\mu \\
 \gamma \text{ opposite to } \theta : & \quad \epsilon_R^\mu(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -c, i, s)^\mu & \quad \epsilon_L^\mu(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -c, -i, s)^\mu \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \cos\theta$, $s = \sin\theta$, and you should remember to use $\epsilon_\mu^*(p)$ for final-state photons.

- (a) Show that, for zero electron mass, only those helicity amplitudes are nonzero for which the e^- has the same helicity in the initial and final states. This reduces the number of nonzero helicity amplitudes to 8.
 - (b) By explicit computation, show that 4 of these helicity amplitudes vanish and the other 4 are equal in pairs.
 - (c) Show that the sum of the two different nonzero contributions reproduces the final result of Problem 1.
3. Peskin and Schroeder, problem 5.5.